Mason County Planning & Zoning Department

102 E. FIFTH STREET SCOTTVILLE, MICHIGAN 49454 (231) 757-9272 • FAX (231) 757-9253

December 5, 2017 1 2 Minutes of the Mason County Planning Commission meeting held at 102 E. 5th St.. 3 Scottville on December 5, 2017 at 7:00 p.m. 4 5 Tom Hooper, Janet Andersen, Doug Robidoux, Frank 6 MEMBERS PRESENT: Redmond, Mike Shaw, Jim Wincek, Cary Shineldecker 7 8 MEBERS ABSENT: None 9 10 OTHERS PRESENT: Fabian Knizacky, Mary Reilly, Cayla Christmas 11 12 The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman Tom Hooper. 13 14 Meeting Minutes: None 15 16 Addition or deletions to the agenda: None 17 18 Conflict of Interest: None 19 20 21 Public Comment: None 22 Correspondence: None 23 24 Applications: None 25 26 27 New Business: None 28 Unfinished Business: The Planning Commission reviewed the proposed amendments 29 for the Zoning Ordinance Update. Mary Reilly explained this was the final draft of the 30 update before the sub-committee review. Ms. Reilly explained if there are no changes 31 32 suggested by the sub-committee then the next step will be to have a public hearing. 33 34 Tom Hooper asked the Planning Commission members if they had any comments or changes they would like to make. 35 36 37 Fabian Knizacky asked for clarification regarding single-wide trailers on page 78, Section 3.2. Mr. Knizacky stated he did not recall the deletions being proposed. 38 39 40 Mary Reilly stated the language that was deleted is outdated. Ms. Reilly stated the cases of people wanting to bring in a used mobile home do not have the ability to 41 provide the information and certification required by the current language. 42 43 Mary Reilly stated an addition to a single-wide mobile home is unlikely to happen as a 44 manufacturer won't be able to construct an addition to a single-wide mobile home since 45 46 there aren't manufacturers around. Ms. Reilly stated the references to the Mason 1 County Building Code and Fire Code were deleted and replaced with Michigan Building 2 Codes as those are the codes the Building Department adheres to.

Fabian Knizacky stated he is concerned with taking out the standards that require an improvement from an existing single-wide mobile home. Mr. Knizacky stated he would like an assurance that the "upgraded" trailer meets some sort of standards.

Tom Hooper asked if the applicant has to provide photos to the Building Department during the application process. Mary Reilly stated they do.

Fabian Knizacky asked if the Building Codes address mobile homes. Mary Reilly confirmed that they do.

Mary Reilly stated she was concerned with allowing such a 5600sf accessory building plus 200 square feet for each additional acre after 10 acres on a parcel with no dwelling. Ms. Reilly stated there needed to be more restriction as a large building shouldn't be needed for personal storage on a parcel with no dwelling.

Cary Shineldecker stated he has a 40' x 64' pole building (2,560 square feet) and he stores his 32' camper, several other items, and a workshop.

Fabian Knizacky suggested a maximum building size of 2,500 square feet.

Tom Hooper suggested 3,000 sf maximum. The Planning Commission agreed.

Cary Shineldecker made a motion to change the maximum size of an accessory building on a parcel with no dwelling to not exceed 3,000 square feet. Second by Frank Redmond.

Fabian Knizacky stated item 1 on page 75 would need to be removed (the language that specifies the 5600 sf maximum needs to be modified to reflect the 3000 sf maximum).

Ms. Reilly asked if someone could build a second building on the parcel if they don't exceed the 3,000 square foot maximum on the first building. The Planning Commission agreed only one accessory building would be allowed on a parcel with no dwelling.

Motion carried, 8 yes 0 no.

Mary Reilly stated there was a change on page 74 regarding accessory buildings across the street from a waterfront dwelling. Ms. Reilly stated the language has been changed to allow for a maximum of 1,200 square feet from the original 960 square feet on parcel of .7 acres or larger.

Doug Robidoux, Cary Shineldecker, Mike Shaw, and Jim Wincek all stated they did not have anything to add to the summary.

Janet Andersen stated she is glad for the clarification regarding single-wide mobile homes, but stated it is going to be tough to get the language passed.

Cary Shineldecker stated it was good to get rid of the existing language as it was outdated by more than 20 years.

Janet Andersen stated she receives calls from people regarding their neighbor's trailers, and she is struggling with the proposed language because "who says this is better" than the existing single-wide mobile home.

Tom Hooper asked if a permit can be denied if the replacement single-wide mobile home is not better than the existing single-wide mobile home.

Mary Reilly described two cases where the building inspector made a determination that a manufactures home was not suitable for habitation or to be moved.

Mary Reilly stated a "free" mobile home will likely cost between \$5,000 and \$6,000 to move the structure, meet new foundation requirements, pull permits, and remove the existing structure.

Janet Andersen stated the people who rent the single-wide mobile homes out will benefit from the new language as they will be able to afford the money to lay a new foundation.

Doug Robidoux noted that the summary that was composed was very well written.

Mike Shaw made a motion to approve the summary with the new changes discussed. Second by Jim Wincek. Motion carried, 8 yes 0 no.

Zoning Director's Report: Mary Reilly stated Habitat for Humanity is purchasing the building Journey School used to be in on US. The owner of the Mercantile store just west of Scottville is looking at using the property across US 10 for a new building. Consumers Energy may be appealing the decision of the Planning Commission made at the November 28th meeting. Dr. Squires has completed everything required of him and Dr. Simone put a light in his parking lot as was required.

Mary Reilly stated she received a letter and site plan from Jeff Hathaway regarding Benedict's. Ms. Reilly asked if the Planning Commission if the drawing was adequate to amend their site plan.

Cary Shineldecker stated he thought it would be right to require them to return and amend the approved original site plan like other applicants do. A sketch is not adequate. Benedict's breeched their contract with the County.

The Planning Commission agreed with Cary Shineldecker.

47 Mary Reilly stated the next meeting will be January 9th, 2018.

1	
2	ZBA Report: None
3	·
4	Public Comment: None
-	Tubilo Comment. None
5	T 11 11 11 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
6	Tom Hooper adjourned the meeting at 7:54pm.
7	
8	1 0000
9	1/ /// // 100
	Wallan !!
	Thank her went
	Frank Redmond, Jr. Secretary
	Mason County Planning Commission
	wason County Flaming Commission